



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,729	09/30/2004	Timothy Ernest Simmons	2006579-0255 (CTX-124)	5728

69665 7590 06/06/2008

CHOATE, HALL & STEWART / CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC.
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER

CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/711,729

Applicant(s)

SIMMONS, TIMOTHY ERNEST

Examiner

DAVID CERVETTI

Art Unit

2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2004.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/05, 3/23/06
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
5) ☐ Notice of Inventor's Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 are pending and have been examined.

Specification

2. The use of the trademark "Pentium" and others has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Requirement for Information

3. An issue of public use or on sale activity has been raised in this application. In order for the examiner to properly consider patentability of the claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), additional information regarding this issue is required as follows:
"Citrix MetaFrame XP", "NFuse", and other Citrix products.
4. Applicant is reminded that failure to fully reply to this requirement for information will result in a holding of abandonment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Even though the claim is directed to a system,

Art Unit: 2136

its limitations of do not recite hardware, furthermore, the specification (par. 24-25) describe the server as a process, i.e. software, thus non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. **Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasmazel et al. (US 6,032,260, hereinafter Sasmazel).**

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Sasmazel teaches

receiving, by a ticketing authority server, a ticket generation request and information about a client node (**col. 7, lines 1-20**);

identifying, by a ticketing authority server, a master session ticket associated in a storage element with the client node (**col. 8, lines 25-60**);

generating, by a ticketing authority server, a derivative session ticket for the client node; associating, by a ticketing authority server, the derivative session ticket with the master session ticket (**col. 8, lines 25-60, fig. 8C**); and

storing, by a ticketing authority server, information about the client node and the derivative session ticket in the storage element (**col. 8, lines 25-60**).

Regarding claim 13, Sasmazel teaches

receiving, by a ticket authority server, a session ticket renewal request and a session ticket (**col. 7, lines 1-20**);

retrieving, by a ticket authority server, the session associated with the received session ticket; renewing, by a ticket authority server, session expiration date; retrieving, by a ticket authority server, the master session ticket associated with the received session ticket (**col. 8, lines 25-60, fig. 8C**);

renewing, by a ticket authority server, the session expiration date of the master session ticket (**col. 7, lines 40-67**);

retrieving, by a ticket authority server, any derivative ticket associated with the master session ticket (**col. 8, lines 25-60**); and

renewing, by a ticket authority server, the session expiration date of the derivative session ticket associated with the master session ticket (**col. 8, lines 25-45**).

Regarding claim 2, Sasmazel teaches wherein step (b) further comprises identifying a master session ticket for the client node by using the received information to query the storage element (**col. 8, lines 25-60, fig. 8C**).

Regarding claim 3, Sasmazel teaches wherein step (c) comprises generating a master session ticket for the client node (**col. 7, lines 1-20**).

Regarding claim 4, Sasmazel teaches wherein step (c) further comprises assigning a session profile type to the derivative session ticket (**col. 7, lines 20-50, fig. 8C**).

Regarding claim 5, Sasmazel teaches wherein step (d) further comprises associating, by the ticketing authority server, the derivative session ticket and the master session ticket by storing a reference to the derivative session ticket in the storage element entry for the master session ticket (**col. 8, lines 25-60, fig. 8C**).

Regarding claim 7, Sasmazel teaches wherein the master session ticket further comprises a client identifier (**col. 7, lines 20-50**).

Regarding claim 8, Sasmazel teaches wherein the client identifier comprises a user name (**col. 7, lines 1-15**).

Regarding claim 9, Sasmazel teaches wherein the client identifier comprises a domain name (**col. 7, lines 1-15**).

Regarding claim 10, Sasmazel teaches wherein the master session ticket further comprises a session profile type (**col. 7, lines 20-50**).

Regarding claim 11, Sasmazel teaches wherein the derivative session ticket further comprises a session profile type (**col. 7, lines 20-50**).

Regarding claim 12, Sasmazel teaches wherein the derivative session ticket further comprises a reference to the master session ticket (**fig. 8C**).

Regarding claim 14, Sasmazel teaches wherein step (b) further comprises querying a storage element to retrieve the session information associated with the session ticket (**col. 8, lines 25-60**).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CERVETTI whose telephone number is (571)272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.
10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571)272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David García Cervetti/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2136